

In [REDACTED]'s OpEd it's pretty obvious that she doesn't like cutters, she puts them down and shows others getting upset with them as well. She also backs it up with a lot of background information. She makes it very obvious to the viewer that cutters are frowned upon and that it's bad. Yet she does show that sometimes it is okay with a pass or anything of that manor. She supports her opinion by showing not just she is offended by cutting and shows if you stand up for yourself usually the "cutter" will back off. The support is pretty convincing but she doesn't really show the alternative of when the "cutter" doesn't back off. She uses a voiceover and shows examples of what she's talking about. I think it works really well with this specific OpEd but it won't always work I think and it's not as creative as some others. She could've thought of a more "pazazz-y" technique. She also relates it to the audience very well because I'm sure we've all experienced those kinds of people in lines. I think for the most part the editing was pretty well done, but during the demonstrations the background sound could've been lower, it was kind of distracting just to me. The editing effected the OpEd by showing the author's opinion, but it was still true. I think most of the editing choices were contributing to the OpEd in a good way, it got the message across very well along with the voice over. All-in-all I think it was very well put together. Like I said before the demonstration background sound was a bit distracting but most of the rest was good. That is about the only complaint I have for this OpEd, the visual was great and the camera was good as well. They contribute in a good way to the OpEd, the way the examples were obviously scripted was good, and realistic because those situations do happen in real life. I'm sure I've already said these things but the situations were well put together and the voice over was good, it was clear and not too loud or too quiet. The only thing I could say would again be the audio of the examples. Also, the sub toward the beginning the "sanction line cutters" rolls twice in the middle and in the bottom corner. Regretfully I'd have to say not really stands out about this OpEd, it's just kind of a "generic" OpEd. It's kind of what would be expected out of an OpEd. Adjectives that come to my mind would have to be normal, generic again, expected and almost bland. I would have to say this tells me the author is kind of plain :( I'm saying this if I didn't know the author because obviously I've met [REDACTED] and she isn't very plain. If I didn't know her and watched this though I would think she was and just performs what is asked not above or exceeding.